

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box, 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/783,577	02/12/2001	Victor I. Chomenky	P775 CON 2	9300
28390	7590 05/02/2005		EXAMINER	
MEDTRONIC VASCULAR, INC.			SHAY, DAVID M	
3576 UNOCA	EPARTMENT AL PLACE		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3739	
SANTA ROS	SA, CA 95403			

DATE MAILED: 05/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\mathscr{C}
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/783,577	CHORNENKY ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	david shay	3739	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	ith the correspondence address	••
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
Status			
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Set 2a) ■ This action is FINAL.	his action is non-final. wance except for formal ma	· ·	ts is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 24-37 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 24-37 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in orionity documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	;
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/783,577

Art Unit: 3739

In view of the Information Disclosure Statement filed on September 2, 2004, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. New grounds of rejection set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
 - (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 24-37 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weinberger in combination with Clayton and Parker et al ('421). Weinberger teaches a method of preventing restenosis by applying radioactive radiation to the blood vessel to reduce the proliferation of smooth muscle cells. Clayton teaches the equivalence of X-rays and radioactive radiation for killing underable tissue. Parker et al teach the use of X-rays to treat tissue. It would have been obvious to the artisan of ordinary skill to employ the source of Parker et al in the method of Weinberger, since these are equivalent for killing tissue as taught by Clayton and since the method of Parker et al reduces the side effects of radiation therapy on normal tissue, and to provide a close 1-50 grays per minute an and irradiation time of one minute, since this is merely a matter of design choice as it is not critical, and provides no unexpected result; and to employ

Application/Control Number: 09/783,577 Page 3

Art Unit: 3739

an exchange tube or a guidwire to advance the x-ray catheter, since these are old and well known methods of placing instruments in blood vessels, official notice of which is hereby taken, thus producing a method such as claimed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to David Shay at telephone number (571) 272-4773.

DAVID M. SHAY PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 330